

Empowerment for Families of People with Substance vs. Gambling Problems: Similarities & Differences

12th Annual Midwest Conference on Problem
Gambling and Substance Abuse

Addictions are tough on spouses/partners

- Effects:
 - Disruption, chaos
 - Financial
 - Legal
 - Household tasks / care-giving
 - Increased risk of Intimate Partner Violence (IPV)
- Consequences:
 - Psychological distress
 - Physical/mental health problems



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

How common are addictions?

- Alcohol Use Disorders: 6.6% of population
 - Missouri: 6.2%
- Drug Use Disorders: 2.7%
 - Missouri: 2.6%
- Gambling Disorder: 1.2%
 - (+1.9% problem gamblers)




COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Addictions are long-term problems

Percent of people with SGP
who get treatment in any year



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Addictions and IPV

- IPV: intimate partner violence
 - Physical, sexual, emotional abuse
 - Coercive control
- Prevalence (general population) 1.3 – 13.6%
- Elevated risk with addictions
 - Substances Odds Ratio = 3-6
 - Gambling O.R. = 10.5



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Summary of problem

- Thousands of intimate partners affected
- Effects can be severe
- Addictions are often chronic / long-term
- Increased risk for IPV

- This is a public health issue

- Yet (relatively) little help is available for intimate partners



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

What can we do about this?

- Addiction treatment providers and other human service professionals are in an ideal position to help.
 - But how?
- The Stress-Strain-Coping-Support theory (Orford et al., 2010) is one way to understand the challenge of dealing with a loved one's addiction.
 - Partners are assumed to be doing the best they can with a difficult situation.



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Stress-Strain-Coping-Support theory

Stress




**COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO


Stress-Strain-Coping-Support theory



Stress-Strain-Coping-Support theory



Stress-Strain-Coping-Support theory



Background: Stress

- The behavior of the person with the addiction is a stressor on the partner
- Measurement: Family Member Impact Questionnaire
 - Total score
 - Worrying behavior (worry about partner)
 - Active disturbance (how much the partner's addictive behavior disturbs family living/events)



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Background: Strain

- The stress of the addiction results in partners experiencing strain
 - Psychological distress
 - Physical health problems
 - Economic insecurity
 - Poor quality of life



**COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Background: Strain

- Measurement of strain
 - Psychological distress: DASS (Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scale)
 - Physical health problems: self-reported health status
 - Economic insecurity: income, sufficiency of income
 - Quality of life: PWI (Personal Wellbeing Index)



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Background: Coping

- Engaged
 - Confronting partner via assertive, controlling, or emotional tactics
- Tolerant
 - Putting up with the addiction via use of inactive, tolerant, or supportive tactics
- Withdrawal
 - Withdrawing from the partner's addiction via use of avoiding or independent tactics
- Survey: Coping Questionnaire



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Background: Social Support

- Informal social support (emotional or practical)
- Support from professionals
- Unhelpful “support”

- Measurement: Alcohol, Drugs and the Family Social Support Scale



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Background: Intimate Partner Violence

- Violence
 - Physical abuse
 - Sexual abuse
 - Emotional abuse
- Coercive control

- Measurement:
 - Violence: Woman Abuse Screening Tool (WAST)
 - Coercive control: Mediator's Assessment of Safety Issues and Concerns (MASIC)



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

How can the SSCS model be helpful?

- Places focus on partners (spouses, family members) of people with addictions
- Provides a positive, strength-based understanding of loved ones of people with addictions
 - This is an alternative to codependency theory
- Elements of SSCS model may serve as intervention points



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Research study: Inclusion criteria

- Female spouses/partners of people with alcohol, drug, or gambling problems ($N = 222$)
- In a current or recent relationship (dissolution within the past year)
- Age 24-65



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Methods

- Recruitment via flyers, online announcements, & Washington University's Research Participant Registry
- Online survey
 - Screening
 - Demographics
 - SSCS model elements & IPV
- Remuneration: amazon.com e-gift certificate (if desired)
- Analysis: ANOVA, t-test, χ^2 , correlations



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Participants

- Primarily St. Louis-area residents
- 93% were in a current relationship
- Almost all were in relationships with men
- 2/3 had children (median # children = 2)
- 77% White, 22% Black, 7% Hispanic*
- Education: 90% went beyond high school**
- Employment: 69% work full-time**
- Housing: 57% own, 43% rent or stay with somebody**

• *similar to STL

**different from STL



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Income

- Median \$50-59,999/year (range \$0 to >\$100,000)*
- >60% said income was not enough (or barely enough) to get by
- Is this income normal for your household?
 - 47% yes
 - 32% no, usually there has been more income
- “Family finances have been affected by the addiction”
 - 28% not at all / rarely
 - 33% sometimes
 - 38% often



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Relationships

- Median relationship duration = 5 years
- 56% currently married to partner
- 75% currently live with partner
- Happiness of relationship 1-10 scale):
 - Median 3 (a little unhappy)



**COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Alcohol/ drugs/ gambling behavior

- Loved one's addiction (check all that apply):
 - 70% alcohol problem
 - 37% drug problem
 - 28% gambling problem
- 30% had multiple addictions
 - Mostly alcohol/drugs or alcohol/gambling



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Participants' alcohol/ drugs/ gambling

- Participants' behavior was associated with their loved one's behavior
 - (High association for alcohol, lesser for drugs/gambling)
- Alcohol: median frequency once/week, 2 drinks/day, binge drinking 3-6 times/year
- Drugs: median frequency once/week, 46.9% of these reported poly-drug use
- Gambling: median frequency 3-6 times/year, \$50/day



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Stress

- Scores are comparable to published scores
- Total impact of burden of partner's addiction on participant:
 - Higher impact if partner had alcohol/gambling problem
- Worry:
 - Less worry if partner had gambling problem
 - More worry if alcohol/gambling problem
- Active disturbance:
 - More disturbance if alcohol/gambling problem



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Strain: psychological distress

- Depression
 - ~ 2/3 of participants had moderate, severe, or extremely severe depression
 - Gambling: less depression
 - Alcohol/drugs: more depression
 - Alcohol/gambling: even more depression



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Strain: psychological distress

- Anxiety
 - >60% of participants reported moderate, severe, or extremely severe anxiety
 - Drugs: less anxiety
 - Alcohol/drugs: more anxiety
 - Alcohol/gambling: even higher anxiety
- Stress
 - Nearly 50% of participants reported moderate, severe, or extremely severe stress
 - Gambling: less stress



Strain: health & finances

- Health
 - Health is good overall (no differences between addictions)
 - Gambling: more satisfied with health than others
- Income & family finances
 - Drugs & alcohol/drugs: lower household income
 - Alcohol: family finances less affected by addiction
 - Alcohol/gambling: finances more affected by addiction



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Strain: quality of life & relationship

- Quality of life
 - Average quality of life for all participants (5.6) was below U.S. norms (7-8)
 - Gambling: higher quality of life
- Relationship
 - Drugs: more likely to report arguments
 - Gambling: less likely to report arguments



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Coping

- Engaged coping
 - Scores similar to published scores
 - Alcohol/gambling: used more engaged coping strategies
- Tolerant-inactive coping
 - Alcohol/gambling: used more tolerant coping strategies
 - Alcohol/gambling: scores were higher than published scores
- Withdrawal coping
 - No differences between addictions
 - Drugs: withdrawal coping strategies perceived as less helpful
 - Scores higher than published scores



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Social support

- No differences in social support (total or subscales) between addictions.
- No published scores for this scale, so can't compare.



**COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Intimate partner violence: WAST

- ~ 1/2 to 3/4 of participants experienced IPV
- How arguments are worked out with partner
 - Alcohol: less “great difficulty” than expected
- Arguments result in hitting/kicking/pushing
- Partner has abused participant physically
- Partner has abused participant sexually
 - Alcohol/gambling: more frequent (all 3)



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Intimate partner violence: MASIC

- Happened at all (# questions endorsed at any frequency)
 - Alc/drugs: lower scores than single addictions
 - Alc/gambling: higher scores than single addictions
- Happened monthly
 - Alc/gambling: higher scores than alcohol, drugs, or alc/drugs
- Happened weekly
 - Alc/gambling: higher scores than single addictions or alc/drugs



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Summary: differences between addictions

- Alcohol
 - Fewer financial effects than other addictions
- Drugs
 - Less likely to be married to partner
 - More arguments
 - Lower household income, more trouble making ends meet
 - Lower anxiety



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Summary: differences between addictions

- Alcohol and drugs
 - Less likely to be married
 - Lower household income
 - Less coercive control
 - More depression & anxiety
- Gambling
 - Higher quality of life
 - Fewer arguments
 - Less depression & stress



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Summary: differences between addictions

- Alcohol & gambling
 - More likely to be married
 - Arguments are not too hard to work out with partner
 - Lower household income than either alcohol or gambling alone, & large effects on family finances
 - Very high impact of addiction (stress)
 - More depression & anxiety
 - More coercive control
 - More physical & sexual abuse



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

What if it's a past relationship?

- Those who are no longer in a relationship with the person with the addiction were different in these ways:
 - Less likely to ever have married the partner
 - Less likely to still be living with the partner (though still high at 57%)
 - More likely to rent/stay with someone than to own their home
 - More likely to report trouble at work or with their supervisor



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

What does this mean for empowerment?

- Empowerment is both a process & an outcome.
- The empowerment process: set a goal, take action, reflect on outcomes/results of action, set new goal (Cattaneo & Goodman, 2014).
- Other definitions of empowerment?



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Empowerment

- Goals:
 - Must be important to the partner.
 - This is especially important if there is IPV in the family.
- Determining which goals are best:
 - Mixed feelings about the situation & loved one are common.
 - Motivational interviewing may help the partner explore her ambivalence and determine which goal(s) are most appropriate for her and the family.



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Empowerment

- Taking action depends on partner's skills, knowledge, self-efficacy, & community resources/supports.
- Skills
 - Help partner develop more (better) coping skills.
 - Teach partner how to support their loved one's abstinence or recovery. This may include analyzing family interactions/situations & utilizing new behavioral techniques.



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Empowerment

- Knowledge
 - Provide information about addictions.
- Self-efficacy
 - Partners may be less overwhelmed if they know they can deal with the situation. This is another benefit to teaching coping skills.
 - Barriers to self-efficacy: partners may feel they shouldn't focus on themselves or the rest of the family (instead of focusing on the addiction).



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Empowerment

- Community resources / supports
 - Partners may have other needs that are beyond your purview.
 - IPV: all patients in treatment for addiction, and their family members, should be screened for IPV. If present, refer to community resources.
 - Psychological distress: participants were highly depressed, anxious, & stressed. Screen for these, & refer to treatment or other sources of support (e.g., NAMI).
 - Addictions: be on the lookout for addictions in partners. Provide treatment and/or refer to mutual aid (12-step) groups.



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Group-specific recommendations

- Alcohol
 - May be the “typical” partner with above-mentioned problems.
- Drugs
 - May need financial/income help.
- Alcohol and drugs
 - High anxiety & depression, so be sure to screen for these.
 - Also may need financial/income help.



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Group-specific recommendations

- Past partner (somebody who is no longer in the relationship)
 - This group is still at risk for fallout from the addiction. Don't assume addiction-related problems are in the past.
- Gambling
 - Screen the person with the gambling addiction for cross-addiction to alcohol, as this is a game-changer for partners.



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Group-specific recommendations

- Alcohol/gambling
 - Family finances are likely highly impacted by the addictions. Financial/income help may be necessary.
 - High depression & anxiety, so be sure to screen/treat.
 - Highest impact of addiction of all groups; partners attempt to cope by high use of coping strategies. Coping training may be helpful, but the impact of the addictions may need to be directly addressed, too.
 - Highly likely to experience IPV (both violence and coercive control). Screening & referral is imperative.



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

Summary

- Partners (& other family members) of people with addictions experience significant problems.
- They deserve help for themselves, as well as training in how to support their loved one's recovery.
- Depression, anxiety, financial problems, and intimate partner violence are common.
- Specific impacts of the addiction may vary by type of addiction, with most severe effects experienced by partners of people with both alcohol & gambling addictions.



COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO



**COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO



**COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO



**COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO



**COLLEGE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
AND HUMAN SERVICE**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO